

**ACPR POLICY PAPER**

**No. 138**

**THE ARAB – ISRAELI PEACE MIRAGE:  
LEGAL PERSPECTIVES**

*Talia Einhorn*



**ACPR PUBLICATIONS**

**THE ARIEL CENTER FOR POLICY RESEARCH  
(ACPR)**

**P.O.B. 830, Shaarei Tikva 44810 Israel  
Tel: 972-3-906-3920 Fax: 972-3-906-3905  
Email: [info@acpr.org.il](mailto:info@acpr.org.il)  
Internet Website: [www.acpr.org.il](http://www.acpr.org.il)**

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Mr. Eliahu Aloni  
Mr. Yossi Ben-Aharon  
Mr. Yossef Bodansky  
Ambassador Moshe Gilboa  
Mr. Haim Haham  
Mr. Mordechai Kreiner  
Prof. Tsvi Ophir  
Mr. Yitzhak Shamir  
Mr. Yair Shamir  
Mark Zell, Esq.

**CHAIRMAN, SPECIAL PROJECTS**

Yoram Ettinger

**DIRECTOR**

Arieh Stav

**ADVISORY COUNCIL**

Prof. Moshe Arens, Israel  
Prof. Yosef Ben-Shlomo, Israel  
Prof. Louis René Beres, USA  
Prof. Paul Eidelberg, Israel  
Mr. Michel Gurfinkiel, France  
Prof. Israel Hanukoglu, Israel  
Prof. Gustav Hendriksen, Sweden  
Prof. Arieh Hillman, Israel  
Prof. Raphael Israeli, Israel  
Mr. Shmuel Katz, Israel  
Dr. Netta Kohn Dor-Shav, Israel  
Prof. Eugene Rostow, USA  
Mr. Moshe Shamir, Israel  
Prof. Shlomo Sharan, Israel  
Prof. Moshe Sharon, Israel  
Dr. Martin Sherman, Israel  
Prof. Eliav Shochetman, Israel  
Prof. Ezra Sohar, Israel  
Col. Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto, Israel  
Prof. William Van Cleave, USA  
Mr. Dror Zoreff, USA

The Ariel Center for Policy Research (ACPR) is devoted to incisive research and discussion of political and strategic issues concerning Israel and the Jewish People.

**ISBN 965 7165 37 7**

**Copyright © ACPR Publishers**

**April 2002 / Iyar 5762**

**Director of Publishing: Leah Kochanowitz**

**THE ARAB – ISRAELI PEACE MIRAGE:  
LEGAL PERSPECTIVES**

*Talia Einhorn*

Prof. Dr. **Talia Einhorn** is Senior Research Fellow at T.M.C. Asser Instituut, The Hague; Professor of Law at Concordia International University Estonia; and Editor-in-Chief, **European Business Organization Law Review** (2000) <[www.asser.nl/ebor.htm](http://www.asser.nl/ebor.htm)>. This policy paper was first published in **European Journal of Law Reform** (2001). Her numerous writings, published in international, European and Israeli law journals and books, cover various aspects of the Arab-Israel conflict. **Prof. Einhorn** has also given testimony at the US Congress Joint Economic Committee Hearing, October 27, 1997, concerning Israel's economic future and its impact on US relations.

Talia Einhorn  
T.Einhorn@asser.nl



The opinions expressed in the Policy Papers are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the members of the **ACPR**.

Quotation of the material herein is permissible provided that the **ACPR** is credited as the source. The **ACPR** requests a copy of any such use.

Additional copies of this and previous studies are available from the **ACPR**'s office.

## **Executive Summary**

The Oslo Agreements signed between Israel and the Palestinian Authority in 1993 were made with a view to enhance “a just, lasting and comprehensive peace”. Yet, since their coming into effect the Middle East has witnessed not peace but violence of the worst kind in recent history.

This article focuses on the rule of law which must be observed within the legal regime of parties to peace agreements, as a pre-condition to peaceful co-existence among them. In the absence of the necessary legal framework all efforts to achieve peace will, at best, buy a temporary armistice, but be rendered futile in the long run.

This article first analyzes the Arab – Israeli conflict from the international law perspective. It shows that public international law does not, and indeed cannot, offer a solution. This does not mean that there is no peaceful solution that both Israelis and Arabs would find desirable.

But such a solution requires political will as well as a serious law reform, some main aspects of which are analyzed in the article.



# **THE ARAB – ISRAELI PEACE MIRAGE: LEGAL PERSPECTIVES**

*Talia Einhorn*

## **1. The Critical Link Between Law and Peace**

The Oslo Agreements signed between Israel and the Palestinian Authority in 1993 were made with a view to enhance “a just, lasting and comprehensive peace”. Yet, since their coming into effect the Middle East has witnessed not peace but violence of the worst kind in recent history. This article focuses on the rule of law which must be observed within the legal regime of parties to peace agreements, as a pre-condition to peaceful co-existence among them. In the absence of the necessary legal framework all efforts to achieve peace will, at best, buy a temporary armistice, but be rendered futile in the long run.

This article will first analyze the Arab – Israeli conflict from the international law perspective. It will be shown that public international law does not, and indeed cannot, offer a solution. This does not mean that there is no peaceful solution that both Israelis and Arabs would find desirable. But such a solution requires political will as well as a serious law reform, some main aspects of which are analyzed hereunder.

## **2. The International Law Perspective and the Peace-less Process**

When Israel will say, “That’s it, no more, we refuse to talk about Jerusalem, we will not allow the refugees to return” – we will return to violence. But by then we will have 30,000 armed Palestinian soldiers in our cities, on land with a large measure of freedom... (Palestinian Authority (PA) Official Nabil Sha’ath, January 1996)

This statement made by an Arab “moderate” leader explains in a nutshell why the chances of attaining peace in the Middle East are so slim. **This is not only rhetoric!**

During the last, failed, Camp David summit (Summer 2000), Prime Minister (PM) Ehud Barak went further than any other Israeli leader in trying to bring the Israeli – Arab conflict to an end. He offered the Palestinians almost all of the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. In return for some 5% of those territories that Israel wished to retain PM Barak was willing to cede territories inside pre-1967 Israel. He was willing to cede the Jordan valley with all its thriving Jewish villages and thereby compromise Israel’s ability to create a barrier, should security considerations so require, between Jordan and the new Palestinian state.

In addition, PM Barak offered to accept into tiny, pre-1967 Israel some 150,000 refugees whose families had fled Israel in 1948. He was even willing to compromise Israel’s sovereignty over large parts of the Old City of Jerusalem, a step that was harshly condemned even by great supporters of the peace process, such as the late Mrs. Leah Rabin, the widow of the late, assassinated, Israeli Prime Minister. She said that Yitzhak Rabin would never have made such a concession.

These most far-reaching proposals were rejected out of hand by Chairman Yasser Arafat. Instead, Chairman Arafat led and supported his people to active confrontations, the Al-Aqsa Intifada or Oslo War. The motives for the rejection become clear when one reads the statements made by Israel’s partners to the so-called “peace process”. One wish is to regain full control over 100% of the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. These territories should be made “*Judenrein*” (clear of Jews), and all Jewish towns and villages must be dismantled. In addition, Israel must open up its borders to millions of Arabs who can trace their ancestry to Arabs who resided in the territory of pre-1967 Israel. According to data provided by the Palestinian Authority,<sup>1</sup> there are more than 5 million such persons. Their admission would mean that Israel, with its 6.4 million citizens (which include a substantial minority of almost 19% of Israeli Arabs) would cease to be the state of the Jewish People.

Israel’s Jewish population got the message: If all Arab demands are met two more Arab states will be created between the Jordan River and the Mediterranean Sea. One should remember that the Arabs control 99.9



percent of the Middle East lands. Israel represents only 1/10 of 1% of the lands. The State of Israel was established first and foremost to be a homeland to the Jewish people. The right of the Jews to return to their ancient homeland, from which they had been driven out by force 2,000 years ago, has been recognized by the international community. Israel provides a safe harbor for Jews worldwide, who wish to practice Judaism openly and undisturbed, living in a state that, *inter alia*, celebrates the Sabbath, rather than Friday or Sunday, as its day of rest, and where life is free of anti-Semitic attacks on Jews.

### **3. The Merits of the Arab Demands**

The claim that there is a legal right to a separate Arab state to be established in all of the West Bank and the Gaza Strip and the further claim that all Arabs who trace their origins to pre-1967 Israel have a right to return to Israel have no basis in international law.

#### **3.1 The Territorial Claim**

Contrary to current popular thought, there was no Arab “Palestinian” state prior to the establishment of the State of Israel.<sup>2</sup> After the Jewish people had lost their sovereignty over the territory of Israel in 70 CE (Christian Era) the territory was governed by the Romans, Byzantines, Arab Moslems, Christian Crusades, Mamluks and Ottomans.

In 1920 the San Remo Conference formally conferred upon Britain a mandate, which included in its terms explicitly “the establishment of the Jewish national home”. Arab pressure and riots in Palestine had brought about the Churchill White Paper of 1922, which again reiterated the right of the Jews to a Homeland in Palestine, but detached all of the area east of the Jordan river from Palestine and gave it to the Hashemi family as an independent Arab state before the approval of the mandate by the League of Nations. The UN famous Partition Resolution of November 29, 1947 (General Assembly Resolution 181 (II)) was accepted by Israel’s Jewish population but rejected by all Arab states. The Arab national movement, which has developed in Israel alongside the Jewish one, opposed any sharing of the country. However, it was not a UN Resolution that established the State of Israel. Under public international law such resolutions are

recommendations without binding effect. Had Israel not defeated all Arab armies that invaded the newly born state upon termination of the British Mandate Israel would not have come into being.

The 1949 Armistice Agreements signed between Israel and its neighbors made it clear that the Armistice Demarcation Lines should not be considered as final borders. The element of “defined territory” as a condition for statehood has always been unclear in the case of Israel.<sup>3</sup>

In 1967 the UN removed its peacekeeping force at the behest of Egypt’s President Gamal Abdel Nasser, precisely at the time at which it was supposed to prevent the escalation of hostilities into war. President Nasser then poured seven divisions of the Egyptian army into the Sinai Peninsula. Israel’s diplomatic efforts to stop the aggression and remove the threat to its existence failed. After weeks of mobilization, which paralyzed the Israeli economy, Israel was finally forced to take a preemptive action against the Egyptian air force, destroying its aircraft on the ground. Syria and Jordan, totally unprovoked, joined Egypt and attacked Israel on June 5, opening fire all along the armistice line. The war ended with Israel’s victory. The Sinai Peninsula, the Golan Heights, the Gaza Strip, Judea and Samaria, also known as the “West Bank”, and the Old City of Jerusalem came under Israeli control.

UN Security Council Resolution 242 passed in the wake of the Six Day War, was aimed at establishing the guidelines for a “peaceful and accepted settlement” to be agreed by the parties. Accordingly, it affirmed that the fulfillment of Charter principles requires the establishment of a just and lasting peace in the Middle East which should include the withdrawal of Israeli armed forces from territories (not necessarily all territories) occupied in 1967 as well as the

termination of all claims or states of belligerency and respect for and acknowledgement of the sovereignty, territorial integrity and political independence of every State in the area and their right to live in peace within secure and recognized boundaries free from threats or acts of force.

UN Security Council Resolution 338 which dates to the 1973 Yom Kippur War waged by Egypt and Syria on Israel without any provocation, reiterates resolution 242 (1967) and declares that “immediately and concurrently with the ceasefire, negotiations start between the parties... aimed at establishing a just and durable peace in the Middle East.”

Under the Camp David Accords Egypt regained the Sinai Peninsula and an international border was fixed by consent between Israel and Egypt. The peace agreement with Jordan fixed the international border between them.

The Gaza Strip formed part of the British Mandate until 1948. It was then administered by Egypt from 1948 to 1967, and by Israel from 1967 until 1994, when Gaza became part of the Palestinian Autonomy. Egypt has never claimed title to the Gaza Strip.

Judea and Samaria (the West Bank) also formed part of the Palestine Mandate territory. Jordan occupied this territory in 1948 and attempted to annex it in 1950. The annexation was not recognized under public international law, Britain (with a reservation regarding East Jerusalem) and Pakistan being the only states to recognize the annexation, which was also vehemently opposed by the Arab states.<sup>4</sup> In 1988 King Hussein declared that Jordan severed its legal and administrative ties with the West Bank. Israel has never formally incorporated Judea and Samaria, to the exclusion of East Jerusalem. The Israeli annexation of East Jerusalem has not been recognized under public international law.

The Golan Heights were Syrian territory until 1967, although here too there were no recognized borders with Israel. Their annexation by Israel has not been recognized under public international law.

It is therefore up to Israel and to those of its neighbors which have not yet concluded peace agreements to agree upon international borders between them. In 1993 the PLO signed the Declaration of Principles which states that Resolutions 242 and 338 should provide the basis for negotiations with Israel. These resolutions do not mandate the establishment of a separate Arab state in Judea, Samaria and the Gaza Strip. Neither have the interim agreements entered between Israel and the PLO determined the question of sovereignty over these territories.

### **3.2 The Palestinian Claim to “Return” to Pre-1967 Israel**

As a result of the 1948 war Israel absorbed some 600,000 Jewish refugees from all over the Arab world, and about the same number of Arabs left Israel. Every war in history has yielded its share of refugees. The novel aspect of the Jewish – Arab conflict has been provided by the Arab countries’ deliberate refusal to absorb and integrate their refugees, despite

their vast territories and their rich oil resources. Israel, on the other hand, absorbed the Jewish refugees without receiving any compensation for the property that they had left behind and without any help from international organizations. Had the Arab countries only used the property left behind by the Jews who had fled to Israel there would have been no difficulty whatsoever to absorb the people whom they openly declare to be their brothers. Indeed, there is no parallel in history to an everlasting refugees' problem, since in the normal course of events every state absorbs the people who share the ethnic origin in common with its citizens.

UN Security Council Resolution 242 does not mention the Palestinians. This was no omission. The Resolution calls for "a just settlement of the refugee problem" in acknowledgment that both sides had their share of refugees. Indeed, the fact that there were both Jewish and Arab refugees cannot be ignored when a final and just settlement is contemplated.

In recent years one hears often the claim that the Palestinians are a separate people and therefore no exchange of populations could have taken place. However, there is no "Palestinian" language and no distinct "Palestinian" culture. Palestinians are Arabs, indistinguishable from Jordanians, Syrians, Lebanese, Iraqis, etc. The statement made on September 29, 1947 by Mr. Husseini, Representative of the Arab Higher Committee, to the Ad Hoc Committee on the Palestinian Question makes this point clearly:

One other consideration of fundamental importance to the Arab world was that of racial homogeneity. The Arabs lived in a vast territory stretching from the Mediterranean to the Indian Ocean, spoke one language, had the same history, tradition and aspirations. Their unity was a solid foundation for peace in one of the most central and sensitive areas of the world. It was illogical, therefore, that the United Nations should associate itself with the introduction of an alien body into that established homogeneity, a course which could only produce new Balkans.<sup>5</sup>

Before 1967 Palestinians living in the West Bank did not demand a separate right of self-determination.

#### **4. The Preconditions for Peace in the Middle East**

The above legal arguments notwithstanding, the Jewish population of Israel has at all times wished to make peace with their neighbors, and

whatever merit the demands from Israel may have had, they were willing to compromise and share with their neighbors.

Since 1947, Israeli leaders have, one after another, agreed to accept programs that would bring peace in the Middle East. Israel has had an ever-growing peace camp. The late PM Menachem Begin ceded Egyptian territories captured during the Six Day War, the whole of the Sinai Peninsula, in return for a peace agreement, calling for “No more war. No more bloodshed.” Upon signing the Declaration of Principles with the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), PM Yitzhak Rabin declared on the White House lawn: “Enough of blood and tears. Enough.” Israeli children are brought up to understand the viewpoint of the Arabs, a task hardly ever taken up by nations in times of conflict. PM Barak attempted to go the extra mile towards a lasting peace by dividing Jerusalem and giving up the Temple Mount, the heart and soul of the Jewish people.

But whereas in Israel people were rallying and demonstrating in their hundreds of thousands in support of the peace process, the Arab-Palestinian perception of the so-called “Peace Process” turned out to be a very different one. Indeed, the very term “Peace Process” is a contradiction in terms unless and until the following, elementary pre-conditions are met.

#### **4.1 The Need for Mutual Respect in Law and in Deed**

Immediately after the UN had adopted its plan of partition, Mr. Saul Malik, the Lebanese Delegate, declared “If I forget thee O Jerusalem, let my right hand wither” to which Mr. Abba Eban, the Israeli Delegate, responded, “If you keep saying this for two thousand years we shall start believing it.”<sup>6</sup>

No peace agreement can bring a lasting peace in the absence of respect for the essential needs of the other party. The status of Jerusalem is a case in point. Jews can trace their roots in Jerusalem back to the days of Abraham. Jerusalem has been in the hearts and minds of Jews throughout the history of the Jewish nation. Jews the world around turn to Jerusalem when they pray. “If I forget thee Jerusalem shall my right hand wither, Let my tongue cleave to the roof of my mouth.” (Psalms 137:5).

In contrast, for Moslems the importance of Jerusalem is of a completely different kind. Whereas Mecca and Medina are mentioned many times in the Koran Jerusalem is not mentioned even once. Even when Moslems

controlled the city they never turned it into their capital. When Jerusalem was under Jordanian control from 1948 to 1967 no foreign Arab leader came to pray in the Al-Aqsa Mosque on the Temple Mount. The Arab demand to have full control over Jerusalem and the Temple Mount can only be interpreted as a demand to humiliate the Zionist enemy rather than a confidence-building block of a lasting peace.

Holy places ceded by Israel to the PA, such as Joseph's Tomb and the ancient "Shalom al Yisrael" Synagogue in Jericho have been looted and torched. They are no longer accessible to Jews. Palestinian commanders openly stated that no Israeli would set foot in Joseph's Tomb. Indeed, a Jew who apparently wanted to visit the site was brutally murdered and a group of hikers (including women and children) "suspected" of coming too near to the Tomb, were shot at, wounded and one was killed.<sup>7</sup>

#### **4.2 The PA Must Renounce and Outlaw Violence**

Chairman Arafat, who was even awarded the Nobel Peace Prize just for signing the Oslo Agreements, has not renounced violence for a single day.

Upon return from signing the agreements on the White House Lawn in Washington, Chairman Arafat was shown on television presiding over his people in Gaza, vowing with Kalashnikov rifles in their hands, "With blood and fire we shall redeem you, Palestine." From there he continued to Johannesburg where he delivered a speech in a mosque likening the agreement he had just signed to the Treaty of Al-Hudaibiya signed by the Prophet Muhammad and his Meccan enemies when they were stronger than him. As soon as Muhammad was strong enough he renounced that Treaty unilaterally, conquered the city and put to death many of his Meccan co-signatories. Chairman Arafat used the occasion to call upon a "*Jihad*", a religious war.

Again this is not only rhetoric. The killing of innocent Israeli citizens through harsh and gruesome, well planned attacks has been part and parcel of the "peace process" since its inception. Television channels worldwide have transmitted time and again the pictures of Israeli buses exploding together with the innocent citizens. The Palestinian "police" (in effect, Chairman Arafat's regular army) established under the Oslo agreements turned the guns provided by the Israeli government against the Israel

Defense Forces (IDF), and killed without hesitation their Israeli comrades with whom they participated in joint patrols established under the interim agreement supposedly to protect the peace process against the enemies of the peace. The “peace process” has been accompanied by Palestinians targeting ambulances and school buses, killing teachers and school children, planting deadly explosives in residential areas in the heart of Jerusalem and other Israeli cities, and lynching innocent people who have accidentally come their way. Israelis have been traumatized by the scope of the violence and by the depth of hatred displayed by their “peace” partners.

On October 12, 2000, Palestinian police participated in the lynching of two Israeli reserve soldiers who, by accident, entered Ramallah, a city under full PA control. The lynching was filmed by an Italian journalist and broadcast worldwide, evoking shock and horror. In 2001 innocent Israeli civilians, mainly teenagers, were killed in cold blood after being tortured, stoned, stabbed, and mutilated beyond recognition. Numerous Israelis fell victim to Arab road ambushes and shooting attacks. Arab suicide bombers killed and maimed civilians targeting them in shopping malls, bus stops, schools and school buses, restaurants, hotels, and a discotheque. Fortunately, many attacks have been successfully thwarted.

The suffering of Palestinians, especially the deaths of the children sent to the front line, caused anguish and soul-searching in Israel. From the Palestinian side, Chairman Arafat has hardly sounded a word in English against the attacks on the Israeli civil population. Even on those rare occasions all one could hear was “I am totally against it,” without mentioning what it was that he was against and without any expression of sorrow over the death of the innocent. In Arabic not a word of condemnation was heard. The official Italian Television Corporation representative in Israel and the PA areas, published an apology on the front page of the Palestinian newspaper **Al-Hayat Al-Jadidah**, in which he claimed that the lynching in Ramallah had in fact been filmed by a “private rival Italian TV station and not by the official Italian TV corporation”. There is no way that the official Italian TV station was involved in any way in the filming of the attack, “due to the fact that we abide by the correct media working procedures with the PA and we perform our work faithfully.”<sup>8</sup>

### 4.3 Education to Peace and Relinquishing Incitement

The most noble words used in peace accords will achieve nothing if the public, especially the young generation, is not educated towards peace and respect of the partners to the dialogue. Indeed, in the Interim Agreement the parties committed themselves to foster mutual understanding, abstain from incitement and prevent incitement by any organizations, groups or individuals within their jurisdiction. The Peace Monitoring Center directed by Professor Itamar Marcus has studied these matters carefully in the past years and published a series of reports covering TV broadcasts, schoolbooks and summer camps organized by the PA for the young ones. These studies should make all peace-supporters shudder.

To begin with, there is total denial of Israel's right to exist. Israel has not appeared on a single map in any schoolbook, old or new. Its place is marked as "Palestine". The Palestinian Authority's television and press have never ceased to broadcast and publish incitement of the most virulent kind.<sup>9</sup> The books and programs present the whole Jewish people, past and present, as the source of evil. The classic anti-Semitic libel blaming all Jews for the death of Jesus has been used to spread hatred. Children are made to explain in a distorted and defamatory way why Jews were persecuted in Europe and hated everywhere. Although it was an Australian non-Jew who set the Al Aqsa mosque on fire in 1969 the PA teaches through its schoolbooks that Israeli senior officials and rabbis made the preparations for this crime and the naive Australian Rohan was just instigated to set fire without the slightest understanding what he was doing.

The PA organizes summer camps for children 12-16 years old. There they train to use weapons and are incited to clash with Israelis. The training includes even slashing throats of Israelis.<sup>10</sup> The studies cite a Member of the Palestinian Parliament, Jamilla Zidam, explaining:

...[t]hese camps are a realization of our determination to mention the "tragedy" [Israel's creation] in light of our right to return [to the land in Israel]...these camps come to emphasize our determined position to continue in the paths of our fallen martyrs.<sup>11</sup>

The worst of all is the cynical use made of children in active warfare. Rather than protect them as the Israelis do, Chairman Arafat and his people place them in the front line and encourage them to throw stones and rocks and create a live shield behind which adults fire with guns and rifles at



Israeli positions. Daily television and newspapers praise Jihad (holy war). Children are taught that to be a *shahid* (martyr) who murders Jewish men, women and children indiscriminately is a virtue. The newspapers bring the stories of young martyrs who, according to the reports, responded to the call of Allah and achieved the Martyrdom that they yearned for, so that it would clear the way for the liberation of Al Aqsa and Palestine from the defilement of the occupation. Even second grade children are encouraged to take part in the riots and become martyrs. It should be recalled that the Protocols to the Geneva Convention of 1949 set the age below which children may not be recruited into the armed forces at fifteen years. Article 38 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child provides that “State Parties shall take all feasible measures to ensure that persons who have not attained the age of fifteen years do not take a direct part in hostilities.”

#### **4.4 The Need for Transparent and Accountable Governance in the PA**

Chairman Arafat’s style of governance has been characterized by lack of transparency and lack of accountability. According to a report of the Budget Committee of the Palestinian Legislative Council (May 1997), funds from foreign donor states were channeled through personal accounts of Palestinian officials. Members of the Palestinian Legislative Council have reported waste and misuse of the budget for private purposes of ministers and officials.<sup>12</sup> At the same time the standard of living of ordinary Palestinians has substantially deteriorated since Chairman Arafat’s coming into power, that despite 2.5 billions of dollars poured by the Donor States (mainly the EU, the US and Japan) into the PA by June 1998. PA corruption has squandered and mismanaged the funds.<sup>13</sup>

The “Peace Process” was expected to yield peace dividends to the Arab population of the West Bank and Gaza. To help create prosperity the Oslo Agreements established a Customs Union with Israel.<sup>14</sup> The objectives of the Customs Union have been frustrated at its inception. Rather than encourage a functioning market, the PA set up more than 100 exclusive importing agencies, or monopolies controlled by persons with close contacts to the Chairman Arafat, some of them serving simultaneously as PA officials. Independent Palestinian entrepreneurs lost a substantial share of their Palestinian market. The PA-controlled monopolies thus served to transfer income from the poorer classes to a new economic class. According to an

IMF Report (February 1997), the PA had undertaken to dismantle the import monopolies by the end of 1998, and to bring all revenues and expenditures, including revenues from PA commercial activities (particularly import monopolies) under the control of the Ministry of Finance by March 1, 1997. This has not happened until now. According to that report about one-fourth of domestic revenues were being diverted to accounts outside of the Ministry of Finance.

#### **4.5 The Role of Basic Human Rights**

As a precondition to accountability, the legal system must protect individual rights and subject its authorities to open criticism. In the Interim Agreement the parties pledged to “exercise their powers and responsibilities...with due regard to internationally-accepted norms and principles of human rights and the rule of law”. Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International have both been very critical of the PA’s approach to human rights. Human Rights activists and journalists were arrested for criticizing the PA. Dr. Iyad al-Sarraj, the Commissioner of Human Rights, and Bassem Eid, head of the Jerusalem-based Palestinian Human Rights Monitoring Group, have been arrested and threatened to cease their activities. Daoud Kuttab, a well-known Palestinian journalist and broadcaster, winner of the 1996 International Press Freedom Award of the Committee to Protect Journalists, was arrested for broadcasting sessions of the Palestinian Legislative Council. The Palestinian Human Rights Monitoring Group further charged that Chairman Arafat’s security forces systematically tortured and mutilated detainees.<sup>15</sup> It has also been charged that the Chief of the Preventive Security Service has exercised censorship over the Al-Quds newspaper through almost daily contact with the managing editor, who seeks approval for all articles critical of the PA. The series of public executions of people said to cooperate with Israel (the peace partner, it will be remembered) have given the world a thorny reminder of the kind of justice administered by the PA. It was even sadder to watch Bassem Eid on TV arguing that all Palestinians support these executions.

To be sure, Israel has been condemned by the same international organizations for waging aggressive wars, for applying excessive force, for destroying the homes of innocent citizens, for imprisoning people without trial, for bombing civilian targets and for endless violations of international

law. Yet, it has correctly been pointed out that, “[b]y treating Israel and its enemies comparably and ‘even-handedly’ the world fails to recognize the important distinction between a flawed democracy and imperfect dictatorships.”<sup>16</sup>

The Israeli independent judicial system has applied Israeli law (including due process, rigid rules of evidence, appellate review of judgments, and sometimes even an additional hearing by an extended panel of the Supreme Court) to Palestinian terrorists in the same way that they apply Israeli law to Jews accused of committing serious crimes. In a landmark decision the Israel Supreme Court outlawed the employment of physical pressure by the General Security Service (GSS) during the interrogation of suspected terrorists.<sup>17</sup> Presented with the heavy toll of dead and wounded civilians caused by Arab terror, the Court acknowledged its awareness that this decision would hamper the ability to properly deal with terrorists and terrorism. Yet, the Justices considered that they must act according to their purest conscience when they decide the law. GSS investigators faced with criminal charges over interrogation methods may only avail themselves of the “necessity” defense if there was clear, imminent danger and such measures were “necessary in an immediate manner” for the preservation of human life. Although in practice every civilized society would probably torture captured terrorists to prevent a “ticking time bomb” from exploding, Israel has placed very serious and effective restraints on the use of such powers.

Finally, Israel has free media with reporters who are free to cover any subject and in fact report on a daily basis every breach of human rights that they observe. The journalists who engage in these activities are regarded highly for airing the problems they observe since sunshine is considered the best disinfectant.

It is difficult for any democracy to cope with terrorism and at the same time maintain strict observation of the rule of law. It is submitted that Israel has compromised the rule of law to a lesser degree than other democracies facing lesser dangers. It is further submitted that, when and if the PA significantly raises its level of respect for human rights there will again be ground for hope for peace in the Middle East.

## 5. Conclusions

The “peace of the brave” is an empty phrase. Its author, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Chairman Arafat, killed the “Peace Process”. It did not die of “natural causes”. Its revival requires a change of heart of the Palestinian leadership as well as a serious reform of the domestic legal framework. Only when that leadership would create the legal framework within which full respect will be accorded to the needs and basic rights of its own people will peace prevail. Indeed, Immanuel Kant has drawn the connection between the reign of law within the state and a state of peace among states. Kant foresaw eternal peace only when the people themselves, rather than their un-elected leaders, are those to decide the issue of waging war:

[T]he republican constitution also provides for...perpetual peace, and the reason for this is as follows: If (as must inevitably be the case, given this form of constitution) the consent of the citizenry is required in order to determine whether or not there will be war, it is natural that they consider all its calamities before committing themselves to so risky a game. (Among these are doing the fighting themselves, paying the costs of war from their own resources, having to repair at great sacrifice the war’s devastation, and, finally, the ultimate evil that would make peace itself better, never being able – because of new and constant wars – to expunge the burden of debt.) By contrast, under a non-republican constitution... the easiest thing in the world to do is to declare war. Here the ruler is not a fellow citizen, but the nation’s owner, and war does not affect his table, his hunt, his places of pleasure, his court festivals, and so on. Thus, he can decide to go to war for the most meaningless of reasons, as if it were a kind of pleasure party, and he can blithely leave its justification (which decency requires) to his diplomatic corps, who are always prepared for such exercises.<sup>18</sup>

One must hope that the wish to have a thriving Jewish state living at peace with its surrounding Arab neighbors be fulfilled “very soon in our days. Amen!”

- <sup>1</sup> The data are taken from the website of the Palestinian National Authority <[www.palestinehistory.com/reftoday.htm](http://www.palestinehistory.com/reftoday.htm)>.
- <sup>2</sup> On the historical development of the term “Palestine” as synonymous to the Holy Land, or the Land of Israel (Eretz Israel), see **The History of Eretz Israel. Volume 9: The British Mandate and the Jewish National Home**, Y. Porath and Y. Shavit (eds.), (Hebrew), pp. 263f.
- <sup>3</sup> P. Malanczuk, “Israel: Status, Territory and Occupied Territories”, **Encyclopedia of Public International Law**, vol. 12, 1990, p. 149.
- <sup>4</sup> Y.Z. Blum, “The Missing Reversioner: Reflections on the Status of Judea and Samaria”, **Israel Law Review**, vol. 3, 1968, pp. 279, 288.
- <sup>5</sup> GAOR, 2nd Session, 1947, Ad Hoc Committee on the Palestine Question, pp. 5-11, brought by R. Lapidoth and M. Hirsch (eds.), **The Jerusalem Question and its Resolution: Selected Documents**, Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff, 1994, p. 13.
- <sup>6</sup> I confirmed this story with Mr. Abba Eban – T.E.
- <sup>7</sup> Israeli Government “White Paper” on the PA/PLO non-compliance, released on November 21, 2000, <[www.imra.org.il/whitepaper1.htm](http://www.imra.org.il/whitepaper1.htm)>.
- <sup>8</sup> See the report of the incident by Ariel Weiss, **Ha’aretz**, October 19, 2000.
- <sup>9</sup> Cf. the studies carried out by I. Marcus, Director of the Center for Monitoring the Impact of Peace (PMC), special report #30 (September 11, 2000). Some of the studies can be seen at <[www.edume.org](http://www.edume.org)>.
- <sup>10</sup> A report on the summer camps prepared by Hillary Anderson has been broadcast on BBC World.
- <sup>11</sup> Published in the daily newspaper **Al-Hayat Al-Jadida** and brought in the PMC Special Report #3.
- <sup>12</sup> See also Edward W. Said, “Are There No Limits to Corruption?”, **The End of the Peace Process: Oslo and After**, New York: Pantheon, 2000, 177ff.
- <sup>13</sup> Barry Rubin, “Arab State Support to the Palestinian Authority: Unfulfilled Expectations”, The Washington Institute for Near East Policy, Working Paper #181. <[www.washingtoninstitute.org](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org)>; D. Shueftan, **Disengagement: Israel and the Palestinian Entity**, Tel-Aviv: Zmora-Bitan and Haifa University, 1999, (Hebrew), pp. 93ff.
- <sup>14</sup> Talia Einhorn, “The Need for a Rule-Oriented Israeli-Palestinian Customs Union: The Role of International Trade Law and Domestic Law”, **Netherlands International Law Review**, vol. 44, 1997, p. 315; Talia Einhorn, “The Customs Union Between Israel and the Palestinian Authority: A Critical Analysis”, in: Arieh Stav (ed.), **Israel at the Crossroads: The Economic Aspects of the Peace Process**, Ariel Center for Policy Research, 1997, see also the author’s testimony before the US Congress Joint Economic Committee, October 21,

1997, Hearing on the Economic Relations between Israel and the Palestinian Authority: <<http://www.house.gov/jec/hearings/israel/einhorn.htm>>.

- <sup>15</sup> Palestinian Human Rights Monitor, <[www.phrmg.org](http://www.phrmg.org)>, see especially the 1997, 1998 and 1999 Annual Reports, “Deaths in Detention”, Report #5, 1997 and “Torture: A State’s Tyranny”, Report #6, 1998.
- <sup>16</sup> Alan M. Dershowitz, “Israel: The Jew Among Nations”, in: **Israel Among the Nations: International and Comparative Perspectives on Israel’s 50th Anniversary**, A. Kellermann, K. Siehr and T. Einhorn (eds.), The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 1998, pp. 129, 132.
- <sup>17</sup> Judgment Concerning the Legality of the General Security Service’s Interrogatory Methods (HC 5100/94), **ILM (International Legal Materials)**, vol. 38, 1999, p. 1471.
- <sup>18</sup> Immanuel Kant, **To Perpetual Peace** (1795), brought in: **Perpetual Peace and Other Essays**, trans. by Ted Humphrey, Indianapolis, 1982, marginal number 351.



**THE**  
***ARIEL CENTER***  
***FOR POLICY RESEARCH***  
**(ACPR)**

**P.O.B. 830, Shaarei Tikva 44810, Israel**  
**Tel: 972-3-906-3920 Fax: 972-3-906-3905**  
**Email: [info@acpr.org.il](mailto:info@acpr.org.il)**  
**Internet Website: [www.acpr.org.il](http://www.acpr.org.il)**

The **ACPR** is devoted to incisive research and discussion of political and strategic issues concerning Israel and the Jewish People.

**ARIEL CENTER FOR POLICY RESEARCH  
(ACPR)  
PUBLICATIONS \* ACTIVITIES**

Statement of Aims Written by the Center's Steering Committee

**POLICY PAPERS**

- |               |   |                                 |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|
| <b>No. 1</b>  | Arab Anti-Semitism in Cartoons – After “Peace”, 1997  | Arieh Stav                      |
| <b>No. 2</b>  | Why Defense Expenditures Should Be Linked to the GDP, 1997  | The Center's Steering Committee |
| <b>No. 3</b>  | The Oslo Accords – Legal Aspects (Hebrew), 1997   | Eliav Shochetman                |
| <b>No. 4</b>  | From “One Dunam and Then One More” to “Territory for Peace”, 1997   | Arnon Soffer                    |
| <b>No. 5</b>  | At What Price the Golan Heights, 1997   | Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto            |
| <b>No. 6</b>  | Egypt's True Defense Expenditures – 2.7 or 14 Billion Dollars?, 1997  | Shawn Pine                      |
| <b>No. 7</b>  | The (Non) Secure Passage, 1997  | Aharon Kleiman                  |
| <b>No. 8</b>  | Arafat's Peace, 1997<br>(Out of print – See revised version – No. 18)   | Yossef Bodansky                 |
| <b>No. 9</b>  | Israel at the Crossroads<br>(In the book <b>Israel at the Crossroads</b> ), 1997  | Arieh Stav                      |
| <b>No. 10</b> | Trends in Israel's Defense Budget: The Growing Threat Potential vs. The Diminishing Response Capacity<br>(In the book <b>Israel at the Crossroads</b> ), 1997 | Martin Sherman                  |
| <b>No. 11</b> | Has the Peace Process Reaped Economic Dividends?<br>(In the book <b>Israel at the Crossroads</b> ), 1997  | Eliyahu Kanovsky                |
| <b>No. 12</b> | The Customs Union Between Israel and the Palestinian Authority (In the book <b>Israel at the Crossroads</b> ), 1997   | Talia Einhorn                   |
| <b>No. 13</b> | Talking “Peace”; Preparing for War<br>(In the book <b>Israel at the Crossroads</b> ), 1997  | Moshe Sharon                    |
| <b>No. 14</b> | Israel 2000 – How Will It Fare If Shrunk to its 1967 Borders? (In the book <b>Israel at the Crossroads</b> ), 1997  | Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto            |
| <b>No. 15</b> | Czechoslovakia 1938 – Israel Today, 1997<br>(Out of print – See revised version – No. 106)  | Arieh Stav                      |
| <b>No. 16</b> | Arab-Israel Peace Agreements Since Camp David, 1997   | Eliyahu Kanovsky                |
| <b>No. 17</b> | Arafat, The World's “Blind Spot”, 1997  | Rachel Ehrenfeld                |
| <b>No. 18</b> | Arafat's “Peace Process”<br>(Paper No. 8, revised and updated), 1997  | Yossef Bodansky                 |
| <b>No. 19</b> | Paradigms of Peace for the Middle East, 1998  | Martin Sherman                  |



- No. 20** The Fate of the Settlements in Light of the Oslo Accords (ACPR Colloquia), 1998 Haim Gvirtzman, et al.
- No. 21** Arab Territorial Continuity as a Focus of the Middle East Conflict, 1998 Ilan Asya
- No. 22** The Dialectic of Self-Hatred in Israel, 1998 Arie Stav
- No. 23** Grand Strategic Thinking for Israel, 1998 Yehezkel Dror
- No. 24** The Self-Destruction of the Jews, 1998 Netta Kohn Dor-Shav
- No. 25** Israel's Survival Imperatives: The Oslo Agreements in International Law, 1998 Louis René Beres
- No. 26** The Israel-Jordan Agreement – A Missed Opportunity, 1998 Raphael Israeli
- No. 27** The Missile Threat Against Israel  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Azriel Lorber
- No. 28** Missiles, Defense and Israel (Reprinted with permission from the Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies in Jerusalem.)  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Angelo M. Codevilla
- No. 29** The Chemical and Biological Threat  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Dany Shoham
- No. 30** The Failure of the MTCR in the Middle East  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Gerald Steinberg
- No. 31** No Room for Error in a Minuscule Country – The Case for Enhanced Anti-Theater Ballistic Missile Defense (TBM) (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto
- No. 32** The Israeli Arrow Project (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 (IAI Press Release)
- No. 33** The BPI as an Alternative  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Reuven Pedatzur
- No. 34** Boost Phase Intercept of Ballistic Missiles  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Dan Rosen
- No. 35** Boost Phase Interception of Ballistic Missiles  
(In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Moshe Guelman
- No. 36** IBIS (Israeli Boost Intercept System) – A Critique (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Danny Leshem

- No. 37** The Aegis Option: How to Provide Near-Term, Cost-Effective Missile Defense to Both Israel and America (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Frank Gaffney
- No. 38** The Airborne Laser (ABL) – The American View of BPI (reprinted with permission from **Spectrum**, Sept. 1997) (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Geoffrey E. Forden
- No. 39** European Perspectives on Missile Defense (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 David Gates
- No. 40** System Perspective – The Danger of Fragmented Thinking (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Yehezkel Dror
- No. 41** BMD – The Israeli Case: Strategy by Default (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Arieh Stav
- No. 42** Population Dispersal – The Next Zionist Challenge (Hebrew), 1998 Arnon Soffer
- No. 43** Chemical and Biological Terrorism: An Intensifying Profile of a Non-Conventional Threat, 1998 Dany Shoham
- No. 44** Airborne Intercept: Boost- and Ascent-Phase Options and Issues (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 David Vaughan et al.
- No. 45** Jordanian-Israeli Strategic Partnership in Historical Perspective, 1998 Alexander Bligh
- No. 46** The Evolution of Chemical and Biological Weapons in Egypt, 1998 Dany Shoham
- No. 47** Israeli-Turkish Strategic Cooperation, 1998 Meltem Müftüleri-Bac
- No. 48** After Oslo – The Quest for Political Stability: A “Politically Incorrect” Paper on an Apparently Correct Solution, 1998 Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto
- No. 49** The Operative Response to the TBM Threat (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Haim Assa
- No. 50** Israeli Media: Reporting the News or Setting the Agenda?, 1998 Yisrael Medad and Eli Pollak
- No. 51** Defense Against Ballistic Missiles: The Threat by Rogue Regimes to the World Order (In the book **Ballistic Missile Defense: The Threat and the Response**), 1999 Uzi Landau
- No. 52** Why Military Limitations on a “Palestinian” State Will Fail: A Legal Assessment (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Howard Grief

- No. 53** The Israeli Death Wish: A Study in the Jewish Attitude Toward National Sovereignty, 1999 Arieh Stav
- No. 54** The Beginning of the End? (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Yosef Ben-Shlomo
- No. 55** Two and a Half Palestinian States (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Raphael Israeli
- No. 56** A Disaster Foretold: The Strategic Dangers of a Palestinian State (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Aharon Levran
- No. 57** Palestinian Ideology and Practice – 5 Years after Oslo (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Moshe Sharon
- No. 58** Israel and the Jews in the Schoolbooks of the Palestinian Authority!, 1999 (Also in the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Shlomo Sharan
- No. 59** Arafat's Independent "Palestine": Defense/Military Impact on Israel (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto
- No. 60** A Worst Case Scenario: A Palestinian Guerilla Offensive on the Outskirts of Tel Aviv (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Yuval Steinitz
- No. 61** Israel: Judea, Samaria and Modern Arms (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Edward Saar
- No. 62** The Hydro-Political Implications of the Oslo Agreements: An Israeli Perspective (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Martin Sherman
- No. 63** The Geographic Aspect of a Palestinian State (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Arnon Soffer
- No. 64** Educating Palestinian Children in the Post-Oslo Era, 1999 (Published in Policy Paper No. 58) (Also in the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Raphael Israeli
- No. 65** The Israeli Arabs and a Palestinian State (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Haggai Huberman
- No. 66** Fighting Terror and Winning (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Binyamin Netanyahu

- No. 67** Why Demilitarization is Not the Remedy: Israel, Palestine and International Law (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Louis René Beres
- No. 68** A Palestinian State and the State Department (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Ezra Sohar
- No. 69** The EU View of a Palestinian State (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Christopher Barder
- No. 70** Israel, A Palestinian State and the Middle East, Plus Sum Potentials (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Yehezkel Dror
- No. 71** Bi-National Realities versus National Mythologies: The Death of the Two-States Solution (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Ilan Pappé
- No. 72** Palestinian Expectancy in the Image of Zionism (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Aharon Ben-Ami
- No. 73** Yitzhak Rabin: “Palestine Will Rise Upon the Ruins of Israel”, 2000 (Also in the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Arie Stav
- No. 74** A Palestinian State and American Interests (Reprinted with permission from **A Palestinian State: Implications for Security and American Policy**, JINSA, 1999) (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Rudy Boschwitz
- No. 75** Myopic Vision: Israeli Withdrawal from the Golan Heights and the Prospects for War with Syria, 1998 Shawn Pine
- No. 76** Territorial Corridors between Gaza and Judea and Samaria (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Arnon Soffer
- No. 77** A Petition to Annul the Interim Agreement, 1999 Howard Grief
- No. 78** Israel’s Alternative Policy in Lebanon, 1999 Walid Phares
- No. 79** Making Votes Count: They Don’t in Israel!, 1999 Paul Eidelberg
- No. 80** Should America Guarantee Israel’s Safety? (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Irving Moskowitz
- No. 81** Religious, Cultural and Rhetorical Aspects in Palestinian Strategy (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Mordechai Nisan
- No. 82** A Palestinian State and Israeli Public Opinion (In the book **Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?**), 2001 Sarit Yalov

- |                |   |                                   |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| <b>No. 83</b>  | Christian Decline and Models of Lebanon, 1999   | Mordechai Nisan                   |
| <b>No. 84</b>  | Ideological Tyranny in the Guise of Democracy, 1999   | Raya Epstein                      |
| <b>No. 85</b>  | Syria and the Former USSR: The Warfare Cooperation Goes On, (Hebrew), (Published in <b>Nativ</b> , Vol. 75-76/4-5), 2000  | Ze'ev Wolfson                     |
| <b>No. 86</b>  | The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict in International Law, 2000 (Also in the book <b>Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?</b> ), 2001                           | Elon Jarden                       |
| <b>No. 87</b>  | The Promise of Post-Oslo Peace and Prosperity: Fantasy in the Guise of Vision (In the book <b>Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?</b> ), 2001              | Martin Sherman                    |
| <b>No. 88</b>  | Advocacy Journalism and the Politically Correct (Scheduled)   | Yedidya Atlas                     |
| <b>No. 89</b>  | Indo-Israeli Strategic Cooperation as an American National Interest, 1999   | Martin Sherman and<br>M.L. Sondhi |
| <b>No. 90</b>  | The Palestinian Refugee Issue and the Demographic Aspect (In the book <b>Israel and a Palestinian State: Zero Sum Game?</b> ), 2001                                   | Atalia Ben-Meir                   |
| <b>No. 91</b>  | The Illegality of the Sharm e-Sheikh Memorandum Under Israeli Law, 1999   | Howard Grief                      |
| <b>No. 92</b>  | American Interests and an Israeli-Syrian Deal (Hebrew), (Published in <b>Nativ</b> , Vol. 73/2), 2000   | Yuval Levin                       |
| <b>No. 93</b>  | Chemical and Biological Weapons in Syria (In the book <b>Peace With Syria: No Margin For Error</b> ), 2000  | Dany Shoham                       |
| <b>No. 94</b>  | The Unholy Trinity: Hizbullah, Syria and Iran (Hebrew), (Published in <b>Nativ</b> , Vol. 71/6), 1999   | Daniel Leshem                     |
| <b>No. 95</b>  | The Coming Economic Collapse of Syria (Hebrew), (Translated and published in <b>Nativ</b> , Vol. 72/1 with the permission of the <b>Middle East Quarterly</b> ), 2000 | Steven Plaut                      |
| <b>No. 96</b>  | The Syrian Occupation of Lebanon (In the book <b>Peace With Syria: No Margin For Error</b> ), 2000  | Mordechai Nisan                   |
| <b>No. 97</b>  | Syria and Narco-Terrorism (Scheduled)   | Rachel Ehrenfeld                  |
| <b>No. 98</b>  | Preparing For Peace? Syrian Defense Expenditures and Its Drive for Regional Hegemony (In the book <b>Peace With Syria: No Margin For Error</b> ), 2000                | Shawn Pine                        |
| <b>No. 99</b>  | Peace With Syria: No Margin for Error (In the book <b>Peace With Syria: No Margin For Error</b> ), 2000   | Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto              |
| <b>No. 100</b> | Megatrends in the Middle East   | Arieh Stav                        |
| <b>No. 101</b> | The EU and the Syrian Track: Israel Ensnared, 2000  | Christopher Barder                |
| <b>No. 102</b> | Security Threats and Effective Remedies: Israel's Strategic, Tactical and Legal Options, 2000   | Louis René Beres                  |

- No. 103** The Jewish Addiction to Radicalism – From “*Yevsekzia*” to the “Peace Now” Movement Arieh Stav
- No. 104** Arab and Islamic Anti-Semitism, 2000 Raphael Israeli
- No. 105** Israel-Syria: A Senseless Peace at an Unbearable Price, 2000 Aharon Levran
- No. 106** Czechoslovakia 1938 – Israel Today (Paper No. 15, revised and updated), 2000 Arieh Stav
- No. 107** Report on the Acquiescence of the Israeli Government in Palestinian Authority “First Strike” Preparations in YESHA (Hebrew), 2000 Mordechai Sones
- No. 108** The Golan Heights and the Facts, 2000 Yoram Ettinger
- No. 109** From Bosnia to Kosovo: The Re-Islamization of the Balkans, 2000 (also to be included in the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Raphael Israeli
- No. 110** The Egyptian Threat and the Prospects for War in the Middle East, 2000 Shawn Pine
- No. 111** Jerusalem’s Temple Mount: A Jewish-Muslim Flashpoint, 2000 Yisrael Medad
- No. 112** Israel-Arabia: Eye-to-Eye with the Future As Taught by the Past, 2001 Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto
- No. 113** The Decline of Israel’s Deterrence Capability, 2001 Aharon Levran
- No. 114** Judaism’s Encounter with European Culture and Totalitarianism, 2001 Raya Epstein
- No. 115** The War of Islam Against Minorities in the Middle East (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Mordechai Nisan
- No. 116** Muslim Immigration and the West (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) David Pryce Jones
- No. 117** Islamic Extremism and Subversion in South Asia (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Ajai Sahni and K.P.S. Gill
- No. 118** The Chemical and Biological Threat of Islam (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Dany Shoham
- No. 119** A New and More Dangerous Era (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Anthony Dennis
- No. 120** Nuclear Programs of Arab and Islamic States: Capabilities, Strategies, and Implications (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Gerald Steinberg and Aharon Etengoff
- No. 121** Sacred Visions and Religious Terror: The Case of Islam (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Charles Selengut

- No. 122** Facing Insurgent Islam: A Grand-Strategy for the West (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Yehezkel Dror
- No. 123** Israel's War with the Palestinians: Sources, Political Objectives and Military Means, 2001 Yitzhak Klein
- No. 124** "He Who is Compassionate to the Cruel Will Ultimately Become Cruel to the Compassionate"—Contemporary Lessons from an Ancient Midrash, 2001 Eliav Shochetman
- No. 125** Israeli Arabs: To Whom Do They Pledge Allegiance? (Hebrew), (Published in **Nativ**, Vol. 85/2), 2002 Atalia Ben-Meir
- No. 126** The Oslo Delusion – or the Collapse of Axioms, 2001 Raphael Israeli
- No. 127** Hamas and the Peace Process, 2001 Yehezkel Shabath
- No. 128** The Afghan Alumni and the Clash Between Civilizations (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Shaul Shay
- No. 129** How Islam Plays the Press (In the book **The Strategic Threat of Islam**, scheduled for 2002) Joseph Farah
- No. 130** A Democratic But "Racist" Solution to the Palestinian Problem, 2001 Paul Eidelberg
- No. 131** The Palestinian Security Forces: Capabilities and Effects on the Arab-Israeli Military Balance, 2001 Gal Luft
- No. 132** The Terrorist Masquerade, 2001 Raphael Israeli
- No. 133** The "Russian Factor" in the Middle East Military Balance, 2001 Ze'ev Wolfson
- No. 134** Zionism, the Post-Zionists and Myth: A Critique, 2001 Shlomo Sharan
- No. 135** There is Life Without a Solution, 2002 Elyakim Ha'etzni
- No. 136** Non-Classified Realities Affecting Israel's Air Force – 2005-2010, 2002 Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto
- No. 137** The Imperative to Achieve Decisive Victory, (Hebrew), 2002 Aharon Levran
- No. 138** The Arab-Israeli Peace Mirage: Legal Perspectives, 2002 Talia Einhorn
- No. 139** Yitzhak Rabin: "Enough of Blood and Tears..." – A Statistically Based Survey of the Oslo Process, Its Agreements and Results Christopher Barder

## BOOKS

### **אסטרטגיה מדינית בעידן של טירוף מערכות (POLITICAL STRATEGY IN AN ERA OF CHAOTIC CHANGE)**

A compilation of 50 research studies, essays and database. Probably the most comprehensive study ever done in Israel on strategic issues.

Modan Publishers, 1977 (Hebrew), Hardcover (Large format), 312 pages.

### **ISRAEL AT THE CROSSROADS**

A compilation of policy papers (9-14) dealing with aspects of Israel's position *vis-à-vis* the peace process, presented before a Congressional Hearing in October 1997.

ACPR Publishers, 1997, Paperback, 196 pages.

### **טילים בליסטיים – איום ומענה BALLISTIC MISSILES – THE THREAT AND THE RESPONSE**

This collection of articles and research encompasses most of the aspects of defense against ballistic missiles. The first section focuses on the proliferation of missiles and weapons of mass destruction and the MTCR convention intended to prevent such proliferation, whilst the other discusses the basic conceptual aspects of BMD, including BPI (Boost Phase Intercept), interception at the Exo-atmospheric stage (the US Army's THAAD and the US Navy's AEGIS) and interception at the Endo-atmospheric stage (Israel's ARROW).

Yediot Aharonot Publishers, 1998 (Hebrew), 330 pages.

Brassey's (UK) Ltd., 1999 (English), 400 pages.

### **איווי המוות הישראלי: עיונים ביחס היהודים אל סוגיית הריבונות הלאומית (THE ISRAELI DEATH WISH: A STUDY IN THE JEWISH ATTITUDE TOWARD NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY)**

by Arieh Stav

Thirteen essays covering the most critical issues facing Israel since Oslo.

Modan Publishers, 1998 (Hebrew), Paperback, 361 pages.

### **השלום – קריקטורה ערבית: עיונים בדימוי היהודי בקריקטורה PEACE: THE ARABIAN CARICATURE : A STUDY OF ANTI-SEMITIC IMAGERY**

by Arieh Stav

"Anti-Semitic caricature is the only type that derives its contents from a unique synthesis of theological, moral, racial, social, and political negation. As such, it is not directed at individuals, but rather at the entire Jewish people. Furthermore, it is the only type of caricature that presents its object as the antithesis of the esthetic ideal of Western culture. Ultimately, anti-Semitic caricature is unique in that, particularly in its German-Nazi and Arab manifestations, it presents the Jew, both individually and in his generality, as an object of physical extermination."

Zmora Bitan Publishers, 1996 (Hebrew), Hardcover, 250 pages.

Gefen Publishing House, 1999 (English), Hardcover, 288 pages.



**ISLAMIC ANTI-SEMITISM AS A POLITICAL INSTRUMENT****איסלאמיות אנטישמית כמכשיר פוליטי**

by Yossef Bodansky

A study of the importance of the use of anti-Semitic incitement in the Muslim world.

ACPR Publications, 1999 (English), Paperback, 180 pages.

Tammuz Publishers, 2000 (Hebrew), Paperback, 206 pages.

**A CONCUBINE IN THE MIDDLE EAST – AMERICAN-ISRAELI RELATIONS**

by Dr. Ezra Sohar

A realistic appraisal of American policy towards Israel as part of a turbulent Middle East... This book places special emphasis on what the State of Israel has done to further the interests of the United States – not only what the United States has done for Israel.

Gefen Publishing House, 1999, Hardcover, 250 pages.

**THE RELIGIOUS-SECULAR CONFLICT IN ISRAEL**

by Professors Shlomo Sharan and Ervin Birnbaum

Two papers discussing, with helpful insights, aspects of this important source of controversy in Israeli life.

ACPR Publishers, 1999, Paperback, 100 pages.

**PEACE WITH SYRIA: NO MARGIN FOR ERROR**

by Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto, Shawn Pine, Major, US Army (Res.), Dr. Mordechai Nisan and Dr. Dany Shoham

A collection of four studies exploring different angles related to the realities surrounding a peace agreement between Israel and Syria.

ACPR Publishers, 2000, Paperback, 120 pages.

**JEWISH STATESMANSHIP: LEST ISRAEL FALL****לבל תימוט ישראל – מדינאות יהודית**

by Professor Paul Eidelberg

Explores the relationship between Jewish perspectives and political thought to illuminate defects in Israeli political structures and suggest remedies for the constitutional framework.

ACPR Publishers, 2000 (English), Paperback, 255 pages.

Tammuz Publishers, 2000 (Hebrew), Paperback, 252 pages.

**איבדנו כל אשר יקר היה: שורשיו של השמאל הפוסט יהודי****(THE ISRAELI LEFT: FROM SOCIALISM TO NIHILISM)**

by Amnon Lord

Based on his own experience, the writer describes the nihilistic and post-Judaic trends among the Israeli Left.

Tammuz Publishers, 2000 (Hebrew), Paperback, 135 pages.

**הספר השחור – דרום לבנון: הבריחה • הבגידה • הביזיון**  
**(THE BLACK BOOK – SOUTHERN LEBANON: A STORY OF FLIGHT, BETRAYAL AND DISGRACE)**

by Aharon Amir

The withdrawal from Southern Lebanon was a serious blow to the Israeli deterrence capability which included the betrayal of an ally.

Carmel Publishers, 2001 (Hebrew), Paperback, 176 pages.

**ISRAEL AND A PALESTINIAN STATE: ZERO SUM GAME?**

This collection of articles, appendices and documents deals with different aspects of the establishment of a Palestinian state in the Western Land of Israel, thus reducing Israel to the "Auschwitz borders" (Shimon Peres) of June 4, 1967. This initiative, known as "the peace process", involves a paradox where a minuscule democracy is being **forced to provide** to its totalitarian enemies – scores of times its size – the only thing that it lacks: territory. In exchange, these dictatorial regimes **promise to provide** the one and only thing that they lack: peace.

ACPR Publishers & Zmora Bitan Publishers, 2001, Hardcover (Large format), 532 pages.

**OSLO'S GIFT OF "PEACE": THE DESTRUCTION OF ISRAEL'S SECURITY**

by Christopher Barder

Region by region, the Oslo process is undermining strategic and water assets and imperiling the safety of Israel's population – a process, but one that cannot bring peace.

ACPR Publishers, 2001, Paperback, 330 pages.

**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS IN THE ARAB COUNTRIES AND IRAN – AN EXISTENTIAL THREAT TO ISRAEL?**

by Dany Shoham

This book documents the most dangerous megatrends in the Middle East.

ACPR Publishers, 2001, Paperback, 119 pages.

**צדק, צדק תרדוף**  
**(JUSTICE JUSTICE SHALT THOU PURSUE)**

by Eliav Shochetman

A review of the conviction of Nahum Korman by the Israeli Supreme Court for the killing of the child, Hilmi Shusha (C/A 6359/99).

ACPR Publishers, 2001 (Hebrew), Paperback, 113 pages.

**AN UNCONVENTIONAL ATTITUDE TOWARD ISRAELI LITERATURE**

by Yosef Oren

This collection of essays describes the development of Hebrew literature since the establishment of the State of Israel.

ACPR Publishers, 2002, Paperback, 85 pages.

## ACPR WEBSITE

The ACPR website <[www.acpr.org.il](http://www.acpr.org.il)> contains a wide range of information about the ACPR and its activities and publications. Summaries for policy papers and books as well as many of the articles from *NATIV* are available online.

## PERIODICAL

### *NATIV*: A JOURNAL OF POLITICS AND THE ARTS

*NATIV*, is in its fifteenth year of publication. The bi-monthly journal, which serves as the official publication of the Center, boasts a collection of over 1,000 papers written by experts in their various fields.

“*Nativ* is a high-brow, multi-disciplinary periodical, unparalleled in its kind in Israel.”

Moshe Arens

## ACPR COLLOQUIA and FORUMS\*

\*Transcripts of some of these proceedings are available.

- |  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| 1. <b>Is the Arab World Ready to Accept Israel in the Region?</b>  | November 5, 1996   |
| 2. <b>The Joint Chiefs of Staff Map for Minimum Borders of Israel and the Peace Process</b>  | November 11, 1997  |
| 3. <b>The Oslo Process and the Fate of the Jewish Settlements in Judea and Samaria</b>   | February 15, 1998  |
| 4. <b>The US-Israel Relationship: Has it Changed from a “Strategic Asset” to a “Political Liability”?</b>  | March 8, 1998      |
| 5. <b>Five Years Since the Oslo Agreements: A Look at the Consequences</b>   | September 16, 1998 |
| 6. <b>The Pathological Aspects of the “Peace Process”</b>  | October 3, 1998    |
| 7. <b>An Israeli Response to the Declaration of a Palestinian State: Immediate Annexation of the Jordan Valley, Western Samaria and the Greater Jerusalem Area</b> | November 3, 1998   |
| 8. <b>When Palestinian Forces Attack the Outskirts of Tel Aviv</b>   | January 7, 1999    |

9.	<b>Ballistic Missiles: The Threat and the Response</b>	February 11, 1999
10.	<b>Israel and a Palestinian State – Zero Sum Game?</b>	April 8, 1999
11.	<b>Christian Decline and Models of Lebanon</b>	June 10, 1999
12.	<b>The Golan Heights – The Dam in the Face of the Avalanche</b>	September 28, 1999
13.	<b>The Golan and the Facts</b>	March 7, 2000
14.	<b>American-Israeli Relations</b>	April 17, 2000
15.	<b>Peace: The Arabian Caricature – A Study of Anti-Semitic Imagery</b>	May 3, 2000
16.	<b>Withdrawal from Lebanon...and Then What?</b>	June 4, 2000
17.	<b>The Post-Jewish Roots of the Israeli Left</b>	June 7, 2000
18.	<b>The Israeli Left: From Socialism to Nihilism</b>	June 18, 2000
19.	<b>Do the Jews Really Want a State?</b>	January 17, 2001
20.	<b>Jerusalem’s Temple Mount: A Jewish-Muslim Flashpoint</b>	March 12, 2001
21.	<b>Israel’s War Against the Palestinian Authority – Sources, Political Targets and Military Means</b>	May 9, 2001
22.	<b>Two Types of Peace</b>	April 23, 2001
23.	<b>From Bosnia to Kosovo: The Destruction of the Serbian Culture and the Islamization of the Balkans</b>	June 11, 2001
24.	<b>Israel and a Palestinian State</b>	July 4, 2001

## ACPR ON THE HILL

- **The Economic Aspects of the Peace Process:**

A Hearing before the Joint Economic Committee (JEC) of the American Congress, under the chairmanship of Congressman Hon. Jim Saxton. (1997)

- **Megatrends in the Middle East: Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction and Islamic Fundamentalism:**

A Round Table Discussion held in Washington, DC, at the invitation of Congressmen Hon. Bill McCollum and Hon. Jim Saxton. (1997)

## SPECIAL PROJECTS

- **THE INFORMATION VISIT OF JOURNALISTS AND REPRESENTATIVES OF NGOS FROM ISRAEL, BRUSSELS, NOVEMBER 25-30, 2001:** Col. (res.) Yoash Tsiddon-Chatto represented the Ariel Center for Policy Research at this conference where he presented his paper, “1938/9...2001/2: Different Circumstances...Same Spirit”.
- **GOLAN AD CAMPAIGN:** A series (20) of weekly full-page ads in the **Ha’aretz** newspaper (published during the first half of 2000), which explained the ramifications of any agreement with Syria which would include a withdrawal from the Golan Heights. The series was also featured in the leading Russian newspaper **Vesty**. The series of ads was published in English in booklet form (Policy Paper #108).
- **NATIV – in ENGLISH:** A sample issue is being planned.
- **FILMS:**
  - 1 **FILM** based on the book **BALLISTIC MISSILES: THE THREAT AND THE RESPONSE**. Produced by Yaakov Klein, ITV.
  - 2 **PILOT FILM** based on Policy Paper 106, “Czechoslovakia 1938 – Israel Today” by Arieh Stav.





## NATIV

Through publication of *Nativ*, the Executive Board of the **Ariel Center for Policy Research (ACPR)** and the Editorial Board of *Nativ* seek to establish a journal of incisive discussion on all cultural and political questions concerning the Jewish state and the Jewish people.

The doors of *Nativ* are open to diverse subjects and authors, on the understanding that all texts published will serve as stimuli for high-level debate on issues of vital interest to Israel.

*Annual subscription rates: 150 NIS Overseas \$60*

*Subscriptions: Tel/Fax: 972-3-533-9822*

*Email: [info@acpr.org.il](mailto:info@acpr.org.il)*

